



Universität  
Zürich<sup>UZH</sup>

Slavisches Seminar

---

# Orthography as a window to diachrony

Barbara Sonnenhauser, Zurich

[barbara.sonnenhauser@uzh.ch](mailto:barbara.sonnenhauser@uzh.ch)



## Introduction

### Orthography and language

- Orthography
  - Spelling
  - Punctuation
  - Spacing
- Orthography as a bridge between two systems of language: spoken and written (Vachek 1939: 113)



## Introduction

### Orthography and language

„We may hypothesize that medieval punctuation habits, at least in some languages, reflected the pragmatics of a text more closely than do modern punctuation rules.“ (Gvozdanović 2000: 332)

„The use of modern punctuation in philological editions fails to do justice to the language of the manuscripts.“ (Gvozdanović 1995: 177)

→ orthography and older texts?



## Approaching older texts

### Some pitfalls

- Bashing the scribe (see Fleischman 2000)
  - „negligence, defective linguistic knowledge, or mechanical copying methods of scribes“ (Fleischman 2000: 47)
  - variation as errors, language change as decay
  - e.g. ,loss of‘: constructions, cases, ....



## Approaching older texts

Къ оутрыны же съномъ объють бысь, мнѣше се царицоу  
нѣкоую на прѣскѣтлѣмъ сѣдештоу прѣстолѣ зреѣти, и  
множество много свѣтлыхъ воинъ шокрѣсть тою стояштіихъ  
(Novaković, p. 56)

Ї на съмніванїе զасප. ѯ видѣ ёдна жена като ёдна  
царица, ѯ сѣдї на столѣ զлать свѣтлікавъ. ѯ օկолօ ніа  
стоѧть мнѡго воине ҳѣбави свѣтлікавы. (Tixonravovski, 56v)



## Approaching older texts

Къ оутрыны же съномъ обыкть бывъ, инѣше се царицоу  
нѣкою на прѣсвѣтлѣмъ сѣдештоу прѣстолѣ зрећи, и  
иножество много свѣтлыхъ воинъ ѿкрысть тою стояштіихъ  
(Novaković, p. 56)

И на съмнѣванїе զасප. и видѣ єдна женâ като єдна  
царица, и сѣдї на столѣ злать свѣтлакавъ. и օկолօ нїа  
стоѧть иного воине ҳѣбави свѣтлакавы. (Tixonravovski, 56v)



## Approaching older texts

Къ оутрыны же съномъ обыкть бывъ, мнѣше се царицоу  
нѣкою на прѣскѣтлѣмъ сѣдештоу прѣстолѣ зрѣти, и  
множество много свѣтлыхъ воинъ ѿкрѣсть тою стояштіихъ  
(Novaković, p. 56)

Ї на съмнѣванїе զасප. ѯ видѣ ёдна женâ като ёдна  
царица, ѯ сѣдї на столъ զлать свѣтлікавъ. ѯ օկолօ իեա  
стоѧть мнѡго воине խбави свѣтлікавы. (Tixonravovski, 56v)



## Approaching older texts

Къ оутрыны же съномъ обыкть бывъ, мнѣше се царицоу  
нѣкою на прѣскѣтлѣмъ сѣдештоу прѣстолѣ зрећи, и  
множество много свѣтлыхъ воинъ ѿкрѣсть тою стояштіихъ  
(Novaković, p. 56)

Ї на съмнѣванїе զасප. ї видѣ ёдна жена като ёдна  
царица, ї сѣдї на столѣ злать свѣтлакавъ. ї օկолօ нїа  
стоѧть мнѡго воине խբави свѣтлакавы. (Tixonravovski, 56v)



## Approaching older texts

### Some pitfalls

- Conceptual inertia (see Fleischman 2000)
  - application of concepts/categories of modern languages
    - e.g. 'renarrative' as (alleged) grammatical category
      - inconsequent usage of renarrative / evidential forms
      - sometimes the author gets so much involved in the story that he forgets that he reports about events that are not witnessed by him personally (Cojnska 1979: 213-214)
      - “diffuse and rambling”, “unsettled language”, “chaotic language” (Moser 1972: 44)



## Approaching older texts

### Some pitfalls

- Conceptual inertia (see Fleischman 2000)
  - application of concepts/categories of modern languages
    - e.g. 'renarrative' as (alleged) grammatical category
    - e.g. 'sentence', 'word' etc. as linguistic entities
      - spacing, punctuation



## Approaching older texts

### Variation

- within and across
  - texts and scribes
  - space and time
  - norms



## Approaching older texts

### Variation

- No native speakers available
- Text as ‚native speaker‘ (Fleischman 2000: 46)
- No negative evidence

→ (Partial) control by textual parameters (Herring et al. 2000)



## Approaching older texts

### Variation

- linguistic reality
  - prerequisite for language change
- indispensable linguistic source



## Approaching older texts

### Variation

- But: what kind of variation?

„The language of a medieval [...] text is already a hybrid, reflecting not the idiolect of an individual language user, but the language of an author/composer filtered through one or more textual choices and subject to greater or lesser modification in the process.“ (Fleischman 2000: 46)



## Approaching older texts

### Corpora

- How to deal with variation in establishing diachronic corpora?
- Faithfulness to the data
  - Leave interpretation to users
- Accessibility of the data
  - Normalisation without interpretation?



## Bulgarian Church Slavonic → Balkan Slavic

Intended project: basic idea

- Diachronic corpus
  - Linguistic diachrony and textual history
  - Linguistic and philological perspective

→ Increase in reliability of data



## Bulgarian Church Slavonic → Balkan Slavic

Intended project: problems

- Language change
  - Structure
    - synthetic > analytic
  - Categories
    - new morphological categories (e.g. definiteness)
    - new conceptual categories (e.g. renarration)
- text evolution
  - textual structure (e.g. narrative chunks)
  - narrative structure (e.g. descriptive, mimetic, narrative)



## Bulgarian Church Slavonic → Balkan Slavic

Intended project: problems

- Comparability across time
    - Genre, textual and linguistic structure
    - Textual history (texts and witnesses)
  - Comparability across space
    - Translations (South and East Slavic)
    - Traditions (different text versions)
- Restriction on one text: the Life of Petka Tărnovska
- Diachronic corpus as parallel corpus



## Bulgarian Church Slavonic → Balkan Slavic

Intended project: problems

- technical make-up
  - transcription of manuscripts (OCR?)
  - annotation and alignment (linguistic and philological)
- trade-off between description and generalisation

→ example: orthography



## Orthography

Standardized period

- Basic spelling principles
  - phonetic: *Piši kao govorиш!* (Vuk Karadžić)
  - etymological: *Govori za uši, a piši za oči!* (Ljudevit Gaj)



## Orthography

Standardized period

- Basic punctuation principles
- Rhetorical
  - reflection of orality (intonation, pauses, etc.)
  - not necessarily reflected in syntax
- the more 'rhetorical', the more insight into language use
  
- Syntactic
  - reflection of syntactic structures
  - not necessarily reflected in oral speech
- the more 'syntactic', the more subject to and result of linguistic theory



## Orthography

Pre-standardized period: 16th-19th century Balkan Slavic

- transitional period in linguistic and literary development
- ‚intuitive‘ literacy

→ Orthography

- bridge between written and spoken language
- linguistic insight

→ Normalisation?



## Orthography

Pre-standardized period: 16th-19th century Balkan Slavic

- Aspects
  - Spacing → concept of ‚word‘
  - Punctuation → concept of ‚sentence‘
  - Spelling → concept of ‚language‘



## Orthography

Spacing: concept of ‚word‘?

– clitics

(1) bi nevřňnuse (Punčo 363v)

(1') i ne vrъnu se (Angelov 1958: 106)

(2) ionь se čudeše (Punčo 361v)

(2') i onь se čudeše (Angelov 1958: 106)



## Orthography

Spacing: concept of ‚word‘?

– negation

(3) *ne malъ děca* (Krčovski, 7)

(3') *nemal deca* (Koneski 1974: 73)

– *ami – a mi*

(4) *a mi radujse* (Krčovski, 8)

(4') *ami raduj se* (Koneski 1974: 74)



## Orthography

Spacing: concept of ‚word‘?

- *da*-constructions

(5) i gleda *danajde* togova človeka (Punčo 363)

(5') i gleda *da* najde togova človeka (Angelov 1958: 107)

(6) sedogовориxу troicata *da* отроватъ čra (Punčo 361)

(6') se dogовориxу tricata *da* отроватъ čra (Angelov 1958: 106)



## Orthography

Punctuation: concept of ‚sentence‘?

- Subordination

(7) nemu mu zapovědal stýj apłъ Petrъ, *ot tie dve ženi da ne se otdělue ot níxъ* (Krčovski, 7)

(7') nemu mu zapovedal svjatij apostol Petr *ot tie dve ženi da ne se otdelue ot niX* (Koneski 1974: 72)

(8) i *vide edna žena kato edna carica* (Demina 1971)

(8') ? i *vide : edna žena kato edna carica* [to be checked with manuscript]



## Orthography

Punctuation: concept of ‚sentence‘?

– Subordination

(9) i mu kazala site stii města po ime, *kako gi vykать* (Krčovski, 9)

(9') I mu kazala site svjatii mesta po ime *kako gi vikat.* (Koneski 1974: 7)

(10) i e kazale sičko, *što imъ učinilo na patъ* (Krčovski, 9)

(10') i e kazale sičko *što im se učinilo na pat* (Koneski 1974: 75)

→ syntactic structures?



## Orthography

Punctuation: concept of ‚sentence‘?

- Subordination

(11) togiva site čl̄věcy, *čto* imalo utoj gradъ, site se krestile (Krčovski, 9)

(11') togiva site čeloveci *čto* imalo u toj grad, site se krestile (Koneski 1974: 75)

→ different types of relative clause ?

[cf. contemporary Macedonian: only non-restrictive relative clauses are separated by a comma, Minova-Ćurkova 2007: 488]



## Orthography

Punctuation: concept of ‚sentence‘?

- ‚extra clausal‘ constituents

(12) kěda *umrešь, lošа i gorka smertь* (Krčovski, 7)

(12') k'e da *umreš loša i gorka smert* (Koneski 1974: 73)

(13) i edinъ člvekъ ot nixъ *imenu beše georgia vide sъnъ* (Punčo 67v)



## Orthography

Punctuation: concept of ‚sentence‘?

- narrative rhythm

(14) *Лѣтопи́съ цркви́ Александрии. Иоганн Дирихль*  
*въйскъ възь сѧсь грыци ибисе.*  
*Замного време икоги излезе ѿ ды*  
*свой иштире на въйскъ и неврънхес*  
*свой*  
*въ домъ иштирихесетъ лѣта свѣ хи.*  
*Ап ибисе не престано икаше уда.*  
*деше сѧсь божіємъ повеленіемъ свѣ*

(Punčo 360v)



## Orthography

Punctuation: concept of ‚sentence‘?

- narrative rhythm

(14') Той царь Александра, когда днегну войску да се виѣ сась грыци  
и ви се за много време, и когда излезе от' дом' свой и отиде на  
войску и не връну се въ домъ свои четиридесетъ лета. Све ходи и  
виеше се непрестано. И каде удареше, сась вожиемъ повелениемъ све є

(Angelov 1958: 106)



## Orthography

Spelling: concept of ‚language‘?

- Bulgarian, Macedonian, Serbian, Balkan Slavic?

(15) i momci *mlogo* stojutъ predъ neja (Punčo 67v)

(15') ibie se *zamnogo vreme* (Punčo 360v)

(16) slepi prozirajut xromi *proxodutъ* (Punčo 68)

(16') sveku godinu daxodatъ sasъ cřa na boi (Punčo 361)

→ Distribution across texts?



## To conclude

### Older texts

- Modern orthography
- Imposes distinctions
- Hides relevant information
  - variation
  - development
  - grammaticalisation



## To conclude

Older texts

- Original orthography
- textual alignment (if ‚sentence‘ and ‚word‘ are no valid units)
- linguistic annotation
- punctuation annotation

→ two levels: normalisation & original



## References

- Cojnska, R. 1979. *Ezikăt na Joakim Krčovski*. Sofija
- Fleischmann, S. 2000. Methodologies and ideologies in historical linguistics: on working with older languages. Herring, S.C. et al. (eds.). *Textual parameters in older languages*. Amsterdam, 33-58
- Gvozdanović, J. 2000. Parameters underlying punctuation in older Russian texts. Herring, S.C. et al. (eds.). *Textual parameters in older languages*. Amsterdam, 331-352
- Gvozdanović, J. 1995. Parameters underlying the organization of mediaeval Russian texts. Andersen, Henning (ed.). *Historical linguistics 1993*. Amsterdam, Philadelphia, 177-190
- Herring, S.C. et al. (eds.). *Textual parameters in older languages*. Amsterdam
- Minova-Ćurkova, Liljana. 2000. *Sintaksa na makedonskiot standarden jazik*. Skopje
- Moser, Ch. A. 1972. *A history of the Bulgarian literature 865-1944*. The Hague, Paris
- Noel, P. & B. Sonnenhauser. 2013. Verschriftlichung zwischen System und Rede. Zur interpunktorischen Kodierung von funktionalen Performanzstrukturen. *Sprachwissenschaft* 38/3, 311-341
- Sonnenhauser, B. 2015a. Sprachliche Strukturen, narrative Strategien. Zum funktionalen Sprachwandel im vor-standardisierten Balkanslavischen am Beispiel der Vita der Petka Tărnovska und des *Sbornik* von Pop Punčo. *Zeitschrift für slavische Philologie* (to appear)
- Sonnenhauser, B. 2015b. Functionalising syntactic variance: declarative complementation with *kako* and *če* in 17th to 19th century Balkan Slavic. *Wiener Slavistisches Jahrbuch. Neue Folge*, 3 (to appear)
- Sonnenhauser, B. & J. Fuchsbauer. 2014. Corpus-based analysis of changing norms: tracing the life of Petka Tărnovska from Middle Bulgarian Church Slavonic to Balkan Slavic. Baranov, V.A., V. Željazkova & A.M. Lavrent'ev (eds.). *Pismenoto nasledstvo i informacionnite texnologii*. Sofija, 14-16
- Vachek, J. 1939. Zum Problem der geschriebenen Sprache. *Travaux du Cercle linguistique de Prague* 8, 94-104



## Sources

Punčo: *Pop Punčov Sbornik*. 1796

Tixonravovski: Demina, E. I. 1971. *Tixonravovskij damaskin. Bolgarskij pamjatnik XVIIv. Issledovanie i tekst*. Sofija

Krčovski: Joakim Krčovski. 1817. *Čudesna presvetyę bogorodicy*. Budimъ

Koneski: Koneski, B. (ed.). 1974. *Joakim Krčovski. Sobarni tekstovi*. Skopje

Angelov: Angelov, B. 1958. *Iz starata bălgarska, ruska i srăbska literatura*. Sofija

Novaković: Novaković, S. 1877. *Život sv. Petke od patrijarha bugarskoga Jeftimija*. Starine 9, 48-59



## Acknowledgements

The research for this paper has been funded by the Austrian Science Fund FWF (project ‚The emergence of narrativity in Early Neo-Balkan Slavic‘; grant number M1536-G23). This support is gratefully acknowledged.

<http://pf.fwf.ac.at/de/wissenschaft-konkret/project-finder/29868>